

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/964,147	09/26/2001	Richard Davidson	2001P10389 US	1744	
75	590 12/18/2003	•	EXAMINER		
Siemens Corporation Intellectual Property Department 186 Wood Avenue South			TAYLOR, BARRY W		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Iselin, NJ 088	330		2643		
			DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applie	cation No.	Applicant(s)	_			
•	•		64,147	DAVIDSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			iner					
				Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun		W Taylor	2643	_			
Period fo		. Севен Серен Се						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In r nunication. 0) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, ma e statutory minimum of and will expire SIX (6) No e application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · ·								
= -	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election	on requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
,—	The specification is objected to by the		_	_				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 January 2</u>		•	•				
	Applicant may not request that any object	_	* *					
441				ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
•	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner	. Note the attac	ned Office Action of form PTO-152.				
•	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			0.0.440(.) (1) (0)				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 								
Attachmen				•				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa			w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Application/Control Number: 09/964,147

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

1. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robuck (5,991,367)in view of Hill et al (6,542,596 hereinafter Hill).

Regarding claims 1-5, 8-11. Robuck teaches rejecting unwanted telephone calls, such as those from telemarketers, while still allowing personal calls to ring through to the user, all without any user input (lines 1-5 of abstract). Robuck realizes that Caller ID works only on local calls, as some out-of-state (i.e. jurisdictions) do not send their telephone number to the caller id unit (col. 2 lines 1-7) rendering caller id useless

Art Unit: 2643

because user still has to manually view the "block out" telephone number. Therefore, Robuck telephone call screener eliminates unwanted telephone calls, while still allowing desirable calls (col. 2 lines 10-14). Robuck discloses using an announcement to filter incoming calls. For example, if this call is from a telemarketer, salesperson, or solicitor, please hang up, and do not call here ever again. If this is from a family member or a personal friend, please press designated key (i.e. digit) and if correct key entered telephone allowed to ring, and if not, telemarketer disconnected (col. 5 lines 1-5). Robuck further discloses preventing the telephone to ring when any other digit is dialed, as would be a result of incoming telemarketer call (col. 5 lines 34-37) not responding correctly to the requested digit.

Robuck does not explicitly show determining if the number is private or public.

Hill also teaches privacy screening service that discriminates between two types of numbers (i.e. public and private). If the number is public the telephony is allowed to ring and if private the caller is requested to enter a designated digit "1" allowing for private number to be provided to called party or enter designated digit "2" to refuse private number being disclosed which causes disconnect to occur (col. 8 lines 10-18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for any one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Robuck by moving the call screening service to telephone company equipment as taught by Hill for the benefit of screening from telephone company equipment so that calling parties may enter override digit allowing for their private number to be known to the called party.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,147

Art Unit: 2643

Page 4

Regarding claim 6. Robuck teaches querying for digit to be used for screening callers. Hill also shows using "1" to indicate that identifier for caller is to be provided to calling party.

Regarding claim 7. Robuck does not show using busy signal. However, it is well known in the art of telephony to use busy signal to calling party when called phone is off-hook.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barry W. Taylor whose telephone number is (703) 305-4811. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (703) 305-4708. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Technology Center 2600 customer service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

ETHERE THE PARTY OF THE PROSERVENCE OF THE PARTY OF THE P